

REMARKS

This amendment is a supplemental response to the Office Action dated January 11, 2006 and is in addition to the amendment filed on June 1, 2006.

SUMMARY OF PART OF THE DISCUSSIONS DURING THE EXAMINER'S INTERVIEW AND CHANGES IN CLAIM 10

An interview with the Examiner was held on June 13, 2006 at the US Patent Office. Applicants' representative explained the changes that were made in claims 12 to 17 and the purpose of filing the new claim 18. Claims 12 to 17 were amended so that they are now independent claims. Applicants' representative explained that each of claims 12 to 18 contained subject matter that was indicated as allowed in the Office Action dated January 11, 2006. The Examiner agreed, except that the dependence of claim 17 was changed so that claim 17 required further study.

The changes in claim 10 were explained. Applicants' representative stated that claim 10 avoided anticipated by either Pocius, et al, or Shie, et al, because the art did not disclose or suggest a microstructure superimposed on a fine structure and that the microstructure had a roughness that is less than that of the fine structure. The Examiner pointed out that Shie, et al, does disclose in relation to figs. 10a and 10b that a microstructure may be provided on the same side of an optical element with Fresnel "fine" structure on that side. However it was

agreed that the range limitation for the roughness of the microstructure should be included in the amended claim 10 and would patentably distinguish claim 10.

Consequently claim 10 was amended to include the preferred range limitation for the roughness of the microstructure.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawing be further amended or corrected in formal respects to put this case in condition for final allowance, then it is requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment and the case passed to issue. Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing the case to allowance, he or she is invited to telephone the undersigned at 1-631-549 4700.

In view of the foregoing, favorable allowance is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Striker,
Attorney for the Applicants
Reg. No. 27,233